Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/747,696	BLATTNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HARESH N. PATEL	2454
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) HARESH N. PATEL.	(3)	
(2) Mr. W. Karl Renner.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 02 April 2009.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>84</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)$ was reached. $g)$ was not reached. h N/A		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The applicant and the examiner discussed the attached examiner's amendments.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See MPEP Section 73.04, H a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAIL MO BATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAIL MO BATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SEE SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on everse side or on attached sheet.		
/HARESH N PATEL/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454		

U.S. Petent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)